

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia (3313-1450)
www.uspto.gov

				<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,602	02/14/2001	Peter R. Ramsaroop	39602	9780	
1609	7590 02/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			FRENEL	FRENEL, VANEL	
SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON DC 20036			3626		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/782,602	RAMSAROOP, PETER R.				
✓ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vanel Frenel	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 February 2001					
	This action is non-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
	c Chammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form F10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on 02/14/01. Claim 1 is pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
  - (A) The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:
  - (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
  - (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claim 1 nominally recites "a method of creating and maintaining a consumer-driven healthcare database" but do not clearly and definitely utilize any technological device in performing the various claimed steps.

As to technological arts recited in the preamble, mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended or field of use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea unless there is positive recitation in the claim as a whole to breathe life and meaning into the preamble.

In the present case, claim 1 recites "a consumer-driven healthcare database" in its preamble but does not recite any technological device in the body of the claim in performing the various steps of "processing" within the computer system.

Mere intended or nominal use of a component, albeit within the technological arts, does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea if the component does not apply, involve, use, or advance the underlying process.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

In the present case, claim 1 as a whole are directed to a first set of medical data from a first healthcare provider to a data management system, the first set of medical data containing medical information regarding a healthcare consumer.

As such, this invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible results as supplying the selected portions of data to either one of the healthcare consumer and a second healthcare provider.

Claim 1 does not recite any application or use of the technological arts, and thus equally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. For a claim to be statutory, it must contain "a computer-readable medium" or "a computer system" tying with the body of the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahlin et al (2004/0260577) in view of Clark et al (6,171,112).
- (A) As per claim 1, Dahlin discloses a method of creating and maintaining a consumer-driven healthcare database, comprising the steps of: providing a first set of medical data from a first healthcare provider to a data management system, the first set of medical data containing medical information regarding a healthcare consumer (See Dahlin, Page 3; Paragraphs 0057-0058); providing a second set of medical data from the healthcare consumer to the data management system, the second set of medical data containing medical information regarding the healthcare consumer and the healthcare consumer having access to the first and second sets of medical data stored within the data management system (See Dahlin, Page 5; Paragraph 0067); categorizing each of the first and second sets of medical data, respectively, within the

data management system into at least a first category (See Dahlin, Page 5; Paragraphs 0067-0069).

Page 5

Dahlin does not explicitly disclose retrieving selected portions of data by the healthcare consumer from either of the first and second sets of medical data, respectively; and supplying the selected portions of data to either one of the healthcare consumer and a second healthcare provider.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Clark. In particular, Clark suggests retrieving selected portions of data by the healthcare consumer from either of the first and second sets of medical data, respectively (See Clark, Col.17; lines 26-67); and supplying the selected portions of data to either one of the healthcare consumer and a second healthcare provider (See Clark, Col.20, lines 9-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Clark within the system of Dahlin with the motivation of providing a correlated record which should be capable of being archived, stored, retrieved and observed for later use (See Clark, Col.3, lines 51-53).

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 703-305-4952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,602 Page 6

Art Unit: 3626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 703-305-9588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VF V.F

February 18, 2005

( JOSEPH THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600